

Wayne P. Vaughn
6473 Gillia Cir S.
Bartlett, TN 38135-9499

December 28, 2007

RE: The Estate of Kay Vaughn
Mabel M. Savage, 3325 Moss Rose Dr., Memphis, TN 38115-4263

Dear family members, friends and other individuals,

Contained within this letter is the final review of Kay's estate and further detail related to those who attempted to defraud both her estate and myself. If you were recently added to the mailing list and did not receive prior distributions, all documents and other files released to the general public are available for download on the Internet website <http://www.InLovingMemoryOfKay.com>. Printed copies may be obtained by written request to the return address. Should anyone initiate legal action, additional distributions will be provided. Otherwise, this letter will remain the last distribution.

This was an eventful year. In January, I was informed that Mabel contacted one of Kay's friends. The purpose of her call was to solicit a confrontation with me as though her statements originated from this individual. She concurrently interjected a highly derogatory insult about a paternal family member. Mabel attempted to covertly defy her own directive to formally end communication, which I received from her attorney, Mr. Bernie J. Brown, Jr., within his July 27, 2006 letter. Also in January, two of Kay's closest friends called with requests. One affirmed that my statements were accurate but asked to be excluded from providing testimony. The other individual also affirmed my statements, preferring to provide testimony only if required during the course of legal action.

A conversation with a second accomplished author mid-January resulted a secession of referrals and new friendships. Based on all advisements that I received, both legal and those of many publishing experts, the interviews were proven unnecessary. I attended a spring film festival, meeting several directors of documentaries. Late summer, a leading publishing company confirmed acceptance of a book in which I will author. This book details the events transpiring after Kay's death and will not replace her biography. Attracting an accomplished author to champion Kay's biography, generating public interest in her life is vital. My book is an interim step and the collaboration of many talented and dedicated people.

Moderately biographical, the first three chapters are exposition. Each subsequent chapter recounts specific harassments and threats, followed by the remarks of highly respected attorneys and financial advisors. I have agreed to a reduction in royalties to facilitate an attractive retail price. Supplementing the publicity from the book's release, the initial proceeds will be devoted to an advertising campaign that promotes attorney and financial advisor recommendations to clients concerned about matters of estate. Dependent on success, all residual proceeds will fund a foundation established in Kay's name. Once the

working draft includes all third-party contributions and is finalized for submission, I must await the publisher's editorial review. Barring unforeseen delays, the anticipated release of my book is October 2008. Confirmation of the exact release date will be posted to the website. This book will be available for order on Amazon.com and at most retail book chains including Barnes & Nobel. Advanced copies will be shipped to Kay's closest friends as an expression of my personal gratitude.

This recent April, I received a letter from Lee formally ending communication. She also mistakenly perceived herself as having authority to withdraw use of her name. Rather than providing an explanation for her false claim or offering an apology, she merely desired that I conceal her identity. The book will explicitly name Mabel and each individual assisting her, including Lee. All named individuals received the distributions and were only omitted from the list after indicating their preference to end or limit communication. Absolutely no one challenged any specific statement.

Mr. Brown's July 27, 2006 letter was the type of perverse stunt that inspires lawyer jokes. It was well within Mabel's legal rights to end communication between her and myself. This was accomplished within his letter with one lawfully accurate statement, "Any communication to Mrs. Savage will deemed to be harassment". Mr. Brown also chose to include extraneous remarks and the fallacious implication that Mabel could and possibly would pursue legal action related to my communication with anyone other than herself. It was necessary for him to state his remarks as opinion because none were legally accurate or substantiated. Their inclusion obviously served no purpose other than intimidation. The upcoming book expounds upon the rights of those victimized during times of grief. Obtained from public records, clients of Brown, Brasher & Smith as well as professional colleagues at other firms are recipients of this distribution.

This month on December 11, 2007, Mabel again chose to defy her own directive. Two caller ID devices connected to my home phone line logged a call from Mabel's home phone number, 368-3402, and name, Mabel M Savage. My December 14, 2007 letter to Mr. Brown was composed in response. Another defiance and harassment December 18, 2007, I received a Christmas card from Mabel with no return address. It contained a wallet-sized photo of Kay and I. After confirming her signature and seeing that it contained a lengthy hand-written note, it was stored unread. With another harassment occurring so soon, Mr. Brown did not have ample time to receive my letter and properly advise his client. Should Mabel's harassments continue, I would be forced to seriously consider legal action.

According to Kay, her father's death was not the natural and direct result of his illness. With carefully delivered words, the doctor clearly offered euthanasia and received Mabel's acknowledgement. Although Edgar's quality of life had severely diminished due to his illness, his death was not imminent. It wasn't a matter of withholding heroic measures by declining resuscitation or being disconnected from life support. As Kay described, morphine was gradually increased until his respiration failed. Instead of merely suppressing pain, the morphine was purposefully administered to end his life. Kay's anguish was with her father's statements days prior to his death. Edgar said that he was

afraid to die and did not want to die. Of course, a natural death isn't a choice but the manner in which he died was a decision yet not his own. There were likely omissions from his medical records to conceal the accurate volume of morphine administered. Having no reason to doubt Kay, I anticipate forensic analysis would substantiate her statements. Mabel chose to defy that which Edgar verbalized to her. This raises the question of motivation, whether the decision was based on his best interest or her own.

After learning of his infidelities, Mabel maintained a high level of resentment towards Edgar. Long before acquiring this knowledge, their relationship was strained by her controlling and manipulative behavior. Edgar was diagnosed and treated for depression, undoubtedly well documented by his physicians. Divorce wasn't an option. Rather than him, Mabel's love was devoted to their combined assets. Her resentment was reflected in Edgar's funeral arrangements. Kay desired a traditional burial for her father. This was also her personal choice. The mausoleum was the least expensive option at Memorial Park. For herself, Mabel purchased a similar option to maintain appearance and contingency yet planned to eventually alter her personal arrangements. When Mabel pressed to move Kay's gravesite, the salesman confirmed Kay's anguish of price being her mother's decision and, as encouragement to move Edgar as well, commented that he deserved arrangements more befitting his love and esteem. Many times, Kay tearfully pleaded with her mother to formalize family arrangements and to include her father by moving his gravesite. This was the basis of Mabel's manipulation. If Edgar's gravesite were to be moved, as Kay desired, Mabel adamantly refused to pay the expense. Choosing to unload the entire burden onto Kay, Mabel declined finalizing her own arrangements and very deliberately leveraged Kay into being fearful of the entire obligation.

Persistent to move Kay's gravesite, Mabel initially depicted her efforts as bringing the family together. The gravesite I selected for Kay and myself was nearest her father with two adjacent and uncommitted lots. Although the location matched every criteria Kay expressly desired, Mabel arbitrarily ranted that it was tacky and inappropriate. Ultimately, she revealed her true objectives. While viewing the locations she preferred far from the mausoleum, Mabel said that she had not decided whether to move Edgar. Without specifying an amount or reason, Mabel declared that I would be signing a promissory note to her. Exactly as Kay forewarned, Mabel attempted to expand the manipulation of her to me.

A few months prior to her death, Kay asked that I promise one commitment and emphasized this to be the only thing that she asked of me regarding her mother. In the event of her death, I would not contest the annuity reverting back to her mother. Of course, I didn't. Many years after Edgar's death, Kay finally began to receive what he had promised to her. In addition to the delay, Mabel exerted further manipulation by limiting it to the monthly payments of an annuity. A few months after provisioning the annuity to Kay, Mabel demanded it back. Kay unyieldingly declined which resulted many heated arguments and additional manipulation. Mabel next established a Trust to both control and divert her own estate from Kay. Rather than simply doing so, she tormented Kay with immense pride and ongoing proclamation. Kay's last few months were undoubtedly the saddest of her life. She endured both the pain of her illness and her mother's betrayal.

Kay told me that because her mother made her life a living hell, she wanted her to have what she cared about most. Kay expressed the logic as hoping she would think about her and all that she did to her as she spent the money.

One year and one day transpired between my first letter to Mabel, July 18, 2005, and the first distribution to the mailing list, July 19, 2006. During that time, each of Kay's closest friends contacted me as well as several paternal family members. Except for Mabel and Lee, no other maternal family member has contacted me since July 4, 2005, approximately one month after Kay's funeral and only a few weeks prior to Mabel conveying her threats. This was the consequence of Mabel's foremost threat. If I did not meet her demands, she declared turning the family against me and, truthful or not, everyone would believe anything she said. Rather than a surprise, it was simply a matter of time. Mabel emotionally abused Kay throughout her entire life. Not even Kay's death would end her mother's tyranny. Mabel had ample opportunity to reconsider her actions and offer an apology appropriate to the extremity of her deplorable behavior. Instead, her harassments continued and as recently as last week, still do.

Mabel's financial condition is far less than she leads everyone to believe. Her income from retirement and investments is very modest. Juggling and leveraging others, she is cash-poor. For Mabel to maintain her false façade with depleting assets, Kay anticipated her mother's financial demise to be within 5 to 10 years. Kay was appreciative of the terms at Kirby Pines. Her mother would have a place to live and receive care, even when becoming incapable of paying the maintenance fee. Desperation contributed to Mabel's deplorable behavior and clearly reflected in her voice within the recorded threat of her voicemail. Mabel leveraged Lee for multiple reasons. One was executing her foremost threat. Maternal family members deduce receiving a substantial inheritance from Lee. In addition to Lee's false claim and inappropriate offer, Mabel leveraged Lee to gain an influence stronger than her own. Mabel's Trust served three purposes. Other than her manipulation of Kay and her attitudes towards me, she desired the illusion of being financially sound. Whatever she diverted from Kay would increase the inheritance received by maternal family members. Profoundly jealous of Lee, Mabel would be perceived as her equal. This was a tremendous source of anguish for Kay. Mabel's twisted competition with Lee and obsession for appearance exceeded her love for Kay. The last few months of her life, Kay was emotionally distraught, exacerbated by her physical pain. She tearfully discussed these topics with her closest friends and myself. There is no possibility of Mabel successfully pursuing legal action. The result would be affirmation on public record, publicity for the book and loss of her quickly diminishing assets.

At a very young age, Kay also endured sexual abuse by a family member. Prompted by the topic on the Oprah Winfrey Show, Kay was working through this during the last two years of her life. One cousin acknowledged similar abuse. At a family gathering, Kay described who and what happened. Choosing not to discuss the details, this cousin with a distinctively assertive nod said, "Yes." In high school, Kay was raped by a man whom she previously adored as a dear friend. Occurring again while living in Baltimore, she feared her safety and returned home. Kay did miss her family and friends, preferring this honest

perception as her motivation rather than disclosing the underlying one. Overcoming the emotional impact of all abuse she endured, especially that of her mother, was important to Kay. She craved inner peace. Reducing Mabel's behavior to her inability to understand the harm she caused, Kay frequently said that she forgave her mother. The reprieve of Kay's duress was temporary. With each passing day, Mabel employed new methods of tormenting her. Like her father, Kay never met a stranger and deeply loved people. She wanted to have a positive impact on everyone's life and would help anyone who expressed a need. Reserved for her biography, this will be Kay's legacy.

Conclusive of extensive research and legal consultation, nothing in my possession belongs to any claimant. As Kay's husband and executor of her Will, I formally responded to every verbal and written claim. With one exception, all items belonging to Lee were returned to her. I attempted to resolve the missing item, a chair, yet she declined. Lee also declined providing the basic information necessary to substantiate "2 Guns" stated within her written claim. Mabel received the only item that she had loaned to Kay, a sofa table. None of the numerous other items Mabel persisted to receive were ones in which she had ownership or legal entitlement. Kay's Will did not bequeath to her mother any items or sum of money. All matters of Kay's estate are finalized. Decisions related to all other issues will be executed at the times I deem appropriate.

Respectfully,

A handwritten signature in black ink, appearing to read "Wayne P. Vaughn". The signature is fluid and cursive, with a prominent initial "W" and a long, sweeping tail.

Wayne P. Vaughn