

February 13, 2006

Dear Mabel,

Reflect back on all that has transpired, especially your threats. You created the delay in placing the marker order. I selected the vendor and finalized the marker inscription back in November. Memorial Park sent to me a highly disturbing letter based on your conversations with them. Because you persist in involving yourself with matters that do not concern you, the order will be placed after my attorney has received ample explanation. In my September 14, 2005 letter to you, I described the upcoming process that I am initiating. If not for your threats and manipulations, the marker for Kay and myself would have already been constructed, received and installed.

My August 10, 2005 letter extended an invitation for written input regarding your inscription suggestions. This was a generous offer considering your prior actions. You attempted this in your January 25, 2006 letter yet you waited over 160 days. During the interim, your concern was not with the marker. You continued to ignore my letters and further attempted to obtain property not belonging to you without substantiating your requests. You would have benefited most by meeting with Bernie to review the content of my letters and responding accordingly. Criminal charges will be filed should my attorney determine that your actions warrant such a response. You lied about several verbal claims, you attempted to steal from me and you convinced Lee to make a false written claim for "2 guns". Bernie can advise you as to whether your other threats, manipulations and abusive behaviors have civil and/or criminal significance. You will be held accountable for all that has transpired.

One of my attorneys will begin the process soon. I have been gathering information and organizing volumes of notes. Both Bernie and you have written since I wrote to you last. This has required additional notes. My September 14, 2005 letter anticipates no later than February. The starting point could extend into March. You have continued to call although I formally requested in writing that you wait until my attorney contacts you. This confirms that you have been persistent with your harassments. I have no immediate reason to talk with you or to meet with you. My attorney will represent my interests. Any verbal communication between you and I will be at a scheduled meeting with my attorney present. I clearly understood from our most recent conversation that you had awareness of your accountability. This meant that Bernie had advised you well. Knowing that you had little to no legal recourse, you deliberately resorted to threats and harassment. Of your demands and threats, you said that you would put nothing in writing. You are mistaken thinking that all is my word against yours as you had inferred. Anything you wish to say will no longer be behind an abusive veil of private conversation. All that you have distorted will be exposed.

My attorney will contact several individuals to formally document statements and answers to various questions. Among family members, this will include Lee, Roberta and Jane. Friends in which Kay confided will also be deposed. Voluntary or not, Bernie

can inform you of the legal processes available to me. Your threat of malicious consequences for not complying with your demands will not be ignored. The results will be compiled, published and provided to all family members and friends. You will have an opportunity to substantiate anything in which you wish to receive from me. You can assign Bernie or any other attorney to represent your interests. You may wish to prepare yet nothing will be required of you until you are contacted.

You signed your January 25, 2006 letter, yourself and "Ed". I could understand you including opinions previously expressed by Edgar within the content of your letter to emphasis a point. You signing Edgar's name to your letter was a bold emotional manipulation. You do not speak for Edgar. Kay explained how her father's death was not the direct result of natural causes. She spoke of your pact with the doctor to slowly increase morphine until his body could no longer support breathing. This too tormented Kay because Edgar had commented that he was afraid to die and that he did not want to die. How is it you feel that you can now speak on Edgar's behalf? Regardless of the circumstances, inducing death in such a manner is unlawful based on my understanding. My attorney will also pose questions regarding Edgar's death. Why must you persist in harassing me?

I have not received the documentation that I requested from Lee in my September 15, 2005 letter to her. Her August 31, 2005 letter to me includes "2 Guns" in the list of items claimed as due for her to receive. Making a false claim as an attempt to receive property not belonging to you is fraud. Prior to receiving Lee's letter, I had never received any gun from Lee nor did she and I ever previously discuss any gun. The gun I received did belong to Edgar. By phone conversation, Lee explained that you gave two guns belonging to her to me. She said that you made a mistake. In my letter to her, I requested written descriptions of the guns that she claimed and a written explanation of how it came to be that you misappropriated that in which she claimed. You convinced Lee to lie. I have received no apology, retraction or anything to substantiate or dismiss her claim. My understanding is that this fraudulent attempt would likely be classified as a criminal act. Being that the letter was mailed, other circumstances may also apply and compound the legal aspects. There is much that I must review with my attorney. It would be in Lee's best interest to provide the documentation that I requested. I will not tolerate my wife's memory being disgraced by you or anyone.

After Kay's last trip to your Florida home, she said that she would never go back. There were many reasons. Chip assaulted Kay with sexually explicit language and Kay was deeply offended. She was sad that someone she previously thought fondly of would show such extreme disrespect towards her. That was nothing compared to how deeply hurt Kay was when she told you about what happened and you blew it off saying that Chip was a "womanizing flirt". Initially, Kay wanted to spend time with you and assist you. Over time, you told Kay that it was "her job" to assist you. By saying what you did, you proved to Kay that her serving you was more important to you than her well-being. I offered myself to Kay as an excuse not to go. It was never the case that I forbid Kay from going to Florida. She begged me to help her find a way to get you off her back. Remember the many times Kay told you that she was not going without me yet I was not

able to go. Kay was pleased because it was a truthful statement and you were only moderately persistent. She was surprised that you weren't more persistent yet grateful that your harassments were minimized by her simply saying the phrase. Kay did not always feel as lucky. It was more common for you to harass her until you got your way or the conflict resulted in a heated argument between you. Kay did her best to compromise yet deeply felt manipulated by you. My process will allow you to hear Kay's perspective from the many people in which she confided. Most people driving you to Florida returned saying that they would never do it again. Kay often called whomever afterwards to make apologies for any inappropriate behaviors you demonstrated. They usually had much to say. I have a great memory and will have my attorney gather these statements as well.

In approximately one week, Bernie will receive a letter describing in detail how inappropriate it was for him to compose both letters as you had requested. It was obvious that you had concealed from him the content of my letters to you. In your recorded threat, you talk of how I will be forced to comply with your demands. My presumption is that Bernie declined from executing all that you initially asked of him because what you requested was neither legal nor ethical. He pacified you by agreeing to compose letters yet he unknowingly perpetuated your harassments. Without any legal substantiation, he stressed that he was merely conveying your thoughts. The process will begin soon. Please do encourage Lee to provide the documentation that I requested. With adequate written explanation, review of that criminal aspect could be avoided.

Respectfully,

[Signature on original]

Wayne P. Vaughn